Evidence for Saturation of Channel Transmission from Conductance Fluctuations in Atomic-Size Point Contacts
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The conductance of atomic-size contacts has a small, random, voltage dependent component analogous to conductance fluctuations observed in diffusive wires. A new effect is observed in gold contacts, consisting of a marked suppression of these fluctuations when the conductance of the contact is close to integer multiples of the conductance quantum. Using a model based on the Landauer-Büttiker formalism, we interpret this effect as evidence that the conductance tends to be built up from fully transmitted (i.e., saturated) channels plus a single, which is partially transmitted.

PACS numbers: 73.23.Ad, 72.10.Fk, 72.15.Lh, 73.40.Jn

Metallic contacts consisting of only a few atoms can be obtained using scanning tunneling microscopy or mechanically controllable break junction [1] techniques. The electrical conductance through such contacts is described in terms of electronic wave modes by the Landauer-Büttiker formalism [2]. Each of the N modes forms a channel for the conductance, with a transmission probability Tn between 0 and 1. The total conductance is given by the sum over these channels G = \sum_{n=1}^{N} TnG0, where G0 = 2e^2/h is the quantum of conductance. By recording histograms of conductance values [3] for contacts of simple metals (Na, Au), a statistical preference was observed for conductances near integer values. This statistical preference was interpreted as an indication that transmitted modes in the most probable contacts are completely opened (Tn = 1, i.e., saturation of channel transmission), in analogy with the conductance quantization observed in 2D electron gas devices [4]. Here, we test this interpretation by performing a new type of measurement giving access to the second moment of the distribution of the Tn’s.

The atomic contacts are formed by breaking a gold wire at low temperatures, and then finely adjusting the size of the contact between the fresh fracture surfaces using a piezoelectric element [1]. Figure 1 shows the differential conductance, dI/dV measured as a function of bias voltage for three atomic-size contacts with different conductance values, using a modulation voltage eV ≪ k_BT (with T the temperature). For each contact, both of the curves for increasing and decreasing bias voltage are given. Measurements such as those of Fig. 1 suggest that the fluctuation pattern changes randomly between contact configurations and that the amplitude of the fluctuations is suppressed for conductance values near G0. In order to establish such a relation, it is necessary to statistically average over a large number of contacts. We do this by measuring the voltage dependence of the conductance (\delta G/\delta V) and the conductance itself (G = \delta I/\delta V) by applying a voltage modulation and measuring the first and second harmonic of the voltage over a resistor in series with the contact. These are recorded continuously, while the contact is broken by increasing the voltage, Vp, over the piezoelectric element, producing curves as shown in Fig. 2. We use a relatively large modulation amplitude of 20 mV over the contact (at a frequency of 46 kHz) in order to have sufficient sensitivity and speed of measurement, thus allowing averaging over many different contacts. The integration time of the lock-in amplifiers was 10 ms and a reading was taken every 100 ms. Between curves, the contact was pushed together to a contact conductance \approx 20G0, to ensure that a new contact geometry was measured each time. All measurements were performed on gold samples of 6N purity, in vacuum at 4.2 K.

The conductance in Fig. 2 shows the typical behavior when breaking gold contacts [5], which consists of plateaus with steps of the order G0 and a last plateau close to G0 before entering the tunneling regime. The steps and plateaus in G correspond with atomic rearrangements and elastic deformation, respectively, as the contact is pulled apart and finally breaks [6]. At each step in G, we find
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FIG. 1. Differential conductance dI/dV as a function of bias voltage, measured with a modulation amplitude ~0.35 mV, for three different contacts with G ~ 1.65G0 (a), ~1.02G0 (b), and ~0.88G0 (c). For all three curves the y scale spans 0.12G0.
FIG. 2. Typical example of the simultaneous measurement of voltage dependence of the conductance $\partial G/\partial V$ and the conductance $G$, as a function of piezo voltage $V_p$. The graph includes vertical dotted lines that show that the steps in both quantities coincide. Two plateaus have been enlarged and offset to show the tiny steps in the conductance. The elongation of the contact is linear with $V_p$ and 10 V corresponds to about 1 nm.

corresponding steps in $\partial G/\partial V$. Even tiny steps in $G$, such as between $7G_0$ and $8G_0$, can produce dramatic jumps in $\partial G/\partial V$.

$\partial G/\partial V$ has a random sign and magnitude with a bell-shaped distribution. Figure 3a shows the standard deviation $\sigma_{GV} = \sqrt{\langle (\partial G/\partial V)^2 \rangle - \langle \partial G/\partial V \rangle^2}$, as a function of $G$ together with a histogram of conductance values (Fig. 3b) determined from 3500 individual curves similar to the one shown in Fig. 2. One clearly observes a very sharp minimum in Fig. 3a at a conductance $G_0$ and less pronounced minima near 2, 3, and even $4G_0$. This new observation forms the central result of this paper. Figure 3a shows the combined results for three gold samples. The global features reproduce in all three cases, but some sample dependence is observed in the shape and height of the maxima. The histogram of conductance values (Fig. 3b) is in accordance with previous measurements for gold at low temperature, e.g., [7].

The effect we observe has the same origin as that noted by Maslov et al. [8] in numerical simulations on constrictions with defects. The principle can be understood by considering a contact with a single conducting mode having a finite transmission probability $T$, described by transmission and reflection coefficients $t$, $t'$, $r$, and $r'$ (coming in from left and right, respectively), with $|t'|^2 = |t|^2 = T$, and $|r'|^2 = |r|^2 = 1 - T$. As illustrated in Fig. 3 (inset), electron waves transmitted by the contact with amplitude $t$, and backscattered to the contact by diffusing paths with amplitude $a$, have a probability amplitude $r$ to be reflected at the contact. This wave interferes with the directly transmitted partial wave and modifies the total conductance. A similar contribution comes from the trajectories on the other side of the contact. These interference terms will be sensitive to changes in the phase accumulated along the trajectories, which is determined by the electron energy and the path length. We can change the energy by the applied voltage, giving rise to the fluctuations shown in Fig. 1. Changes in path length of the order of the Fermi wavelength, which is the atomic scale, occur at the steps in the conductance in Fig. 2, explaining the correlation with the steps in $\partial G/\partial V$. Each time when the contact is opened, and closed again to sufficiently large conductance values, random atomic reconstructions take place, leading to a completely new set of scattering centers. Thus the result presented in Fig. 3 can be interpreted as the ensemble average over defect configurations.
In the following paragraphs, we derive an analytical expression for \( \sigma_{GV} \) to lowest order in \( \alpha \). In our model, the system is divided into a ballistic central constriction connected to diffusive conductors on each side (Fig. 3, inset). The central part is described by a transfer matrix \( \mathbf{t}_l \), with elements \( t_{nm} \) giving the amplitude for the mode \( n \) on the left to be transmitted into mode \( m \) on the right of the constriction. After diagonalization only a few nonzero diagonal elements remain, corresponding to the number of conducting modes at the narrowest part of the conductor [9]. The \( t_{nm} \) are energy dependent, but only on a very large energy scale so that we can ignore this in first approximation. For the total transmission of the combined system, in terms of the return amplitudes on the left- and right-hand side of the contact \( \{a_l(E)\} \) and \( \{a_r(E)\} \), respectively, we obtain the expression \( t_I(E) = t_I(t^{t-1} - a_r(E)t^{t-1}a_l(E) - a_r(E)r^{t-1} - t^{t-1}r_a(E))^{-1}r_t \), where \( r, r' \) and \( t, t' \) (now in the general multimode case) are the matrices of reflection and transmission coefficients of the constriction. \( t_I \) and \( t_r \) are the transmission matrices through the left and right diffusive regions, respectively. For \( k_B \theta \ll eV \), the nonlinear conductance can be expressed as \( G = \partial I/\partial V \),

\[
I = \frac{2e}{h} \int_{0}^{eV} \text{Tr}[t_I(E)t_r(E)]dE.
\]

The fluctuations in the conductance are described by \( \delta G = G - \langle G \rangle \) (where \( \langle G \rangle \) is the conductance averaged over impurity configurations) of which we will consider the voltage dependence \( \partial \delta G / \partial V \). When we take into account that scattering processes in the left and right banks are uncorrelated, product terms of \( a_l \) and \( a_r \) disappear when we average. For the purpose of calculating the small fluctuating part of the conductance, we can assume \( t_I t_r^\dagger = t_r t_l^\dagger = 1 \), although their deviation from unity will affect \( \langle G \rangle \), which we will address briefly below. Considering first a contact with only a single transmitted mode, we obtain an expression for the voltage dependence of the conductance squared, averaged over impurity configurations:

\[
\sigma_{GV}^2 = \left\langle \left( \frac{\partial \delta G}{\partial V} \right)^2 \right\rangle = G_0^2 T^2 (1 - T) 2 \text{Re} \left( \frac{\partial a_l(eV)}{\partial V} \frac{\partial a_r^*(eV)}{\partial V} + \frac{\partial a_r(eV)}{\partial V} \frac{\partial a_l^*(eV)}{\partial V} \right). \tag{1}
\]

\( \sigma_{GV}^2 \) is the conductance averaged over impurity configurations and transmission values. Assuming these averages are independent, we can compare the data with various choices for the distribution of the transmissions. The dashed curve in Fig. 3a shows the behavior of \( \sigma_{GV} \) for a random distribution of two \( T_n \)'s in the interval [0, 1] under the constraint \( T_1 + T_2 = G/G_0 \), where the amplitude has been adjusted to fit the data. Alternatively, the full curve shows the behavior for a single partially open channel, i.e., in the interval \( G/G_0 = [0, 1] \) there is a single channel, in \( [1, 2] \) there are two channels with one fully open, etc. The latter description works surprisingly well, in particular, for the minimum near \( 1G_0 \), and for the fact that the maxima are all nearly equal.

Note that the minima in Fig. 3a are found slightly below the integer values. A reduction of the conductance with respect to the bare conductance of the contact, \( G_0 \sum T_n \), results from total probability for back scattering on the same defects which give rise to the fluctuations. We can estimate the correction as the sum over incoming channels, \( n \), and their probability to return via any channel, \( m \), \( \sum T_n - G/G_0 = 2 \sum_{n,m} T_n T_m |\langle a_{nm}(E) \rangle|^2 \). The total return probability \( \langle |a_{nm}|^2 \rangle \) we approximate by the substitution \( \int_{\tau_e} P_{cl}(\tau) d\gamma \). Thus we expect a correction term \( G = G_0 \sum T_n - 2 \langle |a_{nm}|^2 \rangle \sum T_n^2 \). In Fig. 3, the vertical gray lines indicate the shift below integer values for \( \langle |a_{nm}|^2 \rangle = 0.005 \), which is equivalent to a classical series resistance of 130 \( \Omega \). From this value for \( \langle |a_{nm}|^2 \rangle \), we obtain an estimate for \( \tau_e = 5 \text{ nm} \), which is of the same order of magnitude as the value obtained from the fluctuation amplitudes discussed below.

In our experiment, we measure the second derivative with a modulation amplitude of 20 mV. This limits the path lengths to which we are sensitive to those smaller ...
than ~100 nm ($L_V = v_F h/eV$). From the amplitude of the full curve in Fig. 3a, we obtain an estimate of $l_c = 20 \pm 10$ nm, assuming reasonable values for the opening angle $\gamma$ of 30°–50° [11]. This value is consistent with our assumption that $d \ll l_c \ll L_V$, where $d$ is the contact diameter. The estimate for $l_c$ is sensitive to the functional form of the factors in front of the $T^2(1 - T)$ term in Eq. (2), which was not tested in detail. Measurements of the dependence on modulation amplitude $V$ are under way. However, the thermopower of atomic-size gold contacts was recently measured [12] and has been found to be determined by the same mechanism, but it was measured on an energy scale nearly 2 orders of magnitude lower. It gives the same estimate of $l_c = 20 \pm 10$ nm, consistent with the present value.

Conductance fluctuations [13] have been observed previously in ballistic contacts with diameters an order of magnitude larger compared to our contacts, and were measured as a function of both magnetic field and bias voltage [14]. In that work, the quantum suppression of the fluctuations, which we report here, is not observable due to the fact that many nearly open channels contribute to the conductance for large contacts. The model introduced by Kozub et al. [15] to describe the results of Ref. [14] contains only terms due to the interference of two diffusing trajectories, which are second order in $|a|^2$.

The minimum observed at $G_0$ in Fig. 3a is very sharp, close to the full suppression of fluctuations predicted for the case of a single channel. To describe the small deviation from zero, it is sufficient to assume that there is a second channel which is weakly transmitted, $T_2 \ll 1$, and $T_1 \approx 1$ such that $T_1 + T_2 = 1$. For this case, it is easy to show that the value of $\sigma_{GV}$ at the minimum is proportional to the average value of $T_2$. We obtain $\langle T_2 \rangle = 0.005$, implying that, on average, only $0.5\%$ of the current is carried by the second channel. For the minima near 2, 3, and $4G_0$, we obtain higher values: 6%, 10%, and 15%, respectively.

The well-developed structure observed in $\sigma_{GV}$, with a dependence which closely follows the $\sqrt{\Sigma T_a^2(1 - T_a)}$ behavior of Eq. (2), demonstrates a property of the contacts which we refer to as the saturation of transmission channels: There is a strong tendency for the channels contributing to the conductance of atomic-size gold contacts to be fully transmitting, with the exception of one, which then carries the remaining fractional conductance. Figure 3 shows that the positions of the minima in $\sigma_{GV}$ do not all coincide with those of the maxima in the histogram. This is most pronounced for the feature below $G = 2G_0$. We conclude that the statistically preferred values of the histograms do not necessary correspond with perfect transmission of the bare contact. We propose that the appearance of peaks in the histograms, such as the one at $1.75G_0$, arises from preferred atomic configurations.

The concept of the saturation of transmission channels is consistent with recent work, which shows that, for monovalent metals, the conductance at $G = 1G_0$ of a single atom is carried by a single mode [16–18]. Conversely, based on the analysis of the subgap structure for superconducting aluminum by Scheer et al. [17,19], which showed that typically three channels contribute to the conductance at $G = 1G_0$, we should expect that aluminum does not show a pronounced suppression of conductance fluctuations near integer values. Indeed, preliminary measurements of $\sigma_{GV}$ on this $p$ metal exhibit results for $G \approx G_0$ that are close to a random distribution over three transmission channels, while the monovalent metals Ag and Cu show behavior similar to Au.
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[10] Strictly, we should consider the probability until the first return to the contact, but we estimate the correction to be of order $(d/l_c)$, with $d$ the contact diameter.