Experimental Implementation of a Raman-Assisted Eight-Wave Mixing Process
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Nonlinear processes in the quantum regime are essential for many applications, such as quantum-limited amplification, measurement, and control of quantum systems. In particular, the field of quantum error correction relies heavily on high-order nonlinear interactions between various modes of a quantum system. However, the required order of nonlinearity is often not directly available or weak compared to dissipation present in the system. Here, we experimentally demonstrate a route to obtain higher-order nonlinearity by combining more easily available lower-order nonlinear processes, using a generalization of the Raman transition. In particular, we show a transformation of four photons of a high-\( Q \) superconducting resonator into two excitations of a superconducting transmon mode and two pump photons, and vice versa. The resulting eight-wave mixing process is obtained by cascading two fourth-order nonlinear processes through a virtual state. We expect this type of process to become a key component of hardware-efficient quantum error correction using continuous-variable error-correction codes.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Encoding quantum information in the large Hilbert space of a harmonic oscillator allows for hardware-efficient quantum error correction [1–5]. A further increase in hardware efficiency can be achieved by protecting the information using an autonomous feedback mechanism. It is possible to achieve such autonomous quantum error correction by employing nonlinear driven-dissipative processes to create a decoherence-free manifold of quantum states, within the Hilbert space of the oscillator [6–18]. In particular, a stabilized manifold spanned by four coherent states of a harmonic oscillator has been proposed for the implementation of a hardware-efficient logical qubit [3,11]. Autonomously protecting the logical qubit against dephasing errors requires a four-photon driven-dissipative process, which forces the harmonic oscillator to gain and lose photons in sets of four. Combining such stabilization with correction against photon-loss errors via quantum nondemolition parity measurements [5,19–21] results in complete first-order quantum error correction (QEC).

One approach for engineering the required four-photon driven-dissipative process has been proposed in Ref. [22]. The idea is to implement an eight-wave mixing process that exchanges four photons of a high-\( Q \) resonator mode \( a \) (destruction operator \( a \)) with two excitations of a transmon mode \( b \) (eigen states \( |g\rangle, |e\rangle, |f\rangle \)) and two pump photons, and vice versa, corresponding to an effective interaction given by \( a^4 |f\rangle \langle g| + a^* a^4 |g\rangle \langle f| \) [see Fig. 1(a)]. Adding a two-excitation drive and dissipation on the transmon, by employing a combination of techniques demonstrated in Refs. [13,23], then results in a four-photon driven-dissipative process on the high-\( Q \) resonator. The implementation of \( a^4 |f\rangle \langle g| + a^* a^4 |g\rangle \langle f| \) interaction requires a Raman-assisted cascading [24] of two four-wave mixing interactions, each of which exchanges two resonator photons with a virtual (non-energy-conserving) excitation in the transmon mode and a pump photon, and vice versa. This transition through the virtual state plays a vital role of cascading the two nonlinear processes, and giving an effective higher-order process. On the other hand, mediating the transition through an eigenstate of the system results in two individual processes in series, instead of a higher-order nonlinearity. Additionally, the virtual state also helps in suppressing the decoherence errors induced by the finite lifetime of the transmon mode.

Raman transitions using linear processes ([24], Chap. 6) or a combination of one linear and one nonlinear process [25] have been previously demonstrated. Our implementation of the \( a^4 |f\rangle \langle g| + a^* a^4 |g\rangle \langle f| \) interaction, however, requires the cascading of two nonlinear multiphoton processes. In our experiment we show that not only the Raman-assisted cascading of nonlinear processes is
expanding the Josephson cosine potential, is small compared to the dissipation rates of the system and other spurious terms present in the Hamiltonian (see Appendix C). Hence, Raman-assisted virtual cascading of low-order mixing processes is essential for enhancing the strength of the desired four-photon driven-dissipative process for hardware-efficient QEC.

This article is organized as follows: Sec. II develops the theoretical background for the cascaded transition demonstrated in this article. Section III is dedicated to the experimental demonstration. Specifically, Sec. III A describes the experimental setup. Sec. III B describes the calibration of the pump frequencies and powers, and Secs. III C and III D discuss the tomography performed on the system. In Sec. IV we discuss some limitations of our current experiment, followed by conclusions in Sec. V.

II. THEORY

In order to demonstrate the feasibility of cascading nonlinear processes through virtual states, our experiment focuses on the Raman-assisted $|f\rangle \leftrightarrow |g\rangle$ transition. This transition is a precursor to the aforementioned $a^4|f\rangle |g\rangle + a^{4*}|g\rangle |f\rangle$ process, which requires the $|\tilde{n}\rangle \leftrightarrow |g(n+4)\rangle$ transitions to all occur simultaneously. The basic principle behind the $|f\rangle \leftrightarrow |g\rangle$ transition, based on the application of two pumps at frequencies $\omega_{p1}$ and $\omega_{p2}$, is explained in Fig. 1(b), with the help of a level diagram. Here, we develop a basic theoretical model for this transition. A more detailed derivation of the effective Hamiltonian is presented in Appendix B.

The two pump frequencies $\omega_{p1,2}$ are chosen such that they each enable a nonlinear process of the form $a^2b + a^{12}b$, where we introduce the destruction operator $b$ for the transmon involved in the $|f\rangle \leftrightarrow |g\rangle$ transition. This interaction enables an exchange of two photons of the cavity with a single excitation of the transmon mode and a pump photon, and vice versa. Hence, it is a four-wave mixing interaction. The Hamiltonian of the system in the presence of the pumps is given by

$$\frac{H_{\text{sys}}}{\hbar} = \frac{H_0}{\hbar} + \left[ \frac{g_1 e^{i\phi_{p1}} + g_2 e^{i\phi_{p2}}}{\hbar} \right] a^2 b + \text{H.c},$$

(1)

where $g_1$ and $g_2$ are amplitudes of the pumped processes and H.c denotes Hermitian conjugate. The first term $H_0$ is the diagonal part of the Hamiltonian expressed as

$$\frac{H_0}{\hbar} = \tilde{\omega}_a a^\dagger a + \tilde{\omega}_b b^\dagger b - \chi_{ab} a^\dagger a b^\dagger b - \chi_{aa} a^{12} a^2 - \chi_{bb} b^d b^2,$$

where $\tilde{\omega}_{a,b}$ are Stark-shifted mode frequencies, $\chi_{ab}$ is the cross-Kerr interaction between the modes, $\chi_{aa}$ is the anharmonicity inherited by the resonator, and $\chi_{bb}$ is the anharmonicity of the transmon. The Stark shifts are related to
the pump amplitudes by the following relations:

\[
\tilde{\omega}_a = \omega_a - \frac{4}{\chi_{ab}} \left( |g_1|^2 + |g_2|^2 \right), \\
\tilde{\omega}_b = \omega_b - \frac{8\chi_{bb}}{\chi_{ab}^2} \left( |g_1|^2 + |g_2|^2 \right),
\]

(2)

where \(\omega_{a,b}\) are the bare frequencies of the modes. In terms of these quantities, the pump frequencies are expressed as

\[
\omega_{p1} = 2\tilde{\omega}_a - \tilde{\omega}_b + \chi_{bb} + 2\chi_{ab} + \Delta + \delta, \\
\omega_{p2} = 2\tilde{\omega}_a - \tilde{\omega}_b + 2\chi_{ab} - \Delta + \delta.
\]

(3)

These two pumps are equally detuned from the \(|f\ 0\rangle \leftrightarrow |e2\rangle\) and \(|e2\rangle \leftrightarrow |g4\rangle\) transitions by a detuning \(\Delta\) [see Fig. 1(c)]. The common shift in the pump frequencies, given by \(\delta\) (not shown in the figure), helps in accounting for the anharmonicity of the resonator and also some higher-order frequency shifts (see Appendix B). The effective Hamiltonian of the system to the second-order in the rotating wave approximation (RWA) [26] is given by

\[
\frac{H_{\text{eff}}}{\hbar} \approx g_{4\text{ph}} \left( |f\ 0\rangle\langle g4| + |g4\langle f\ 0\rangle \right),
\]

(4)

where

\[
g_{4\text{ph}} = \sqrt{48g_1g_2} \left( \frac{1}{\Delta} - \frac{1}{\chi_{bb} - 4\chi_{ab} + \Delta} \right)
\]

(5)

III. EXPERIMENTAL DEMONSTRATION

In the last section, we show that the effective Hamiltonian in the presence of the two pumps gives rise to a \(|f\ 0\rangle \leftrightarrow |g4\rangle\) transition. In this section we focus on the experimental demonstration of these oscillations. We begin our discussion by describing the setup and the calibration process for the two pumps. This is followed by a characterization of the process using tomography of the transmon-resonator system.

A. System details

The experimental setup for testing our transition requires (i) a high-\(Q\) resonator, (ii) a transmon mode for the conversion process, and (iii) a second transmon mode to perform tomography [27] of the resonator. In addition, we need to be able to couple pumps strongly with the conversion transmon, while maintaining the quality factor of various modes of the system. The high-\(Q\) storage resonator \((T_1 = 76 \mu s)\) is realized as a high-purity aluminum, \(\lambda/4\)-type, post cavity [28] with frequency \(\omega_{a}/2\pi = 8.03\ GHz\).
[see Fig. 1(c)]. The resonator is dispersively coupled to two transmons, as shown in Fig. 1(c). The transmon in the conversion arm has a resonance frequency \(\omega_b/2\pi = 5.78 \text{ GHz}\), anharmonicity \(\chi_{bb}/2\pi = 122.6 \text{ MHz}\) and a cross-Kerr interaction strength of \(\chi_{ab}/2\pi = 7.4 \text{ MHz}\) with the high-\(Q\) resonator. The \(T_1\) and \(T_2\) of the conversion transmon are 50 and 7.6 \(\mu\)s, respectively. The second transmon is employed to perform Wigner tomography on the storage resonator and has a cross-Kerr interaction strength of 1.1 MHz with it. Both transmons are coupled to low-\(Q\) resonators through which we perform single-shot measurements of the transmon state. In the case of the conversion transmon, the measurement distinguishes, in single-shot, between the first three states \(|g\rangle\), \(|e\rangle\), and \(|f\rangle\). The enclosure of the high-\(Q\) resonator acts as a rectangular waveguide high-pass filter with a cutoff at approximately 9.5 GHz. Since the two pump frequencies, \(\omega_{p1}/2\pi = 10.397 \text{ GHz}\) and \(\omega_{p2}/2\pi = 10.294 \text{ GHz}\), are above the cutoff, they are applied through the strongly coupled (waveguide mode \(Q \leq 100\)) pin at the top. The high-\(Q\) resonator and the transmon modes are below the cutoff and are thus protected from relaxation through this pin. The remaining system parameters are quoted in Table I and the measurement setup is described in Appendix E.

### B. Process calibration

In order to locate the correct pump frequencies for the transition of interest, we use the pulse sequence shown in Fig. 2(a). The system is initialized in \(|f0\rangle\) and the two pumps are applied for a variable period of time. The pump frequencies are swept such that the frequency difference is maintained constant at \(\omega_{p1} - \omega_{p2} = \chi_{ua} - 4\chi_{ab} + 2\Delta\). We choose \(\Delta/2\pi = 5.1 \text{ MHz}\) and \(g_2/2\pi \sim 0.5 \text{ MHz}\). The rising and falling edges of the pump pulses are smoothed using a hyperbolic tangent function with a smoothing time of 192 ns. These parameters are empirically optimized to reduce the leakage to the \(|e2\rangle\) state while achieving a \(g_{4ph}\) that is an order of magnitude faster than the decoherence rates of the system. The resulting resonator state is characterized by applying a photon-number selective \(\pi\) pulse [29] on the tomography transmon. The pulse has a Gaussian envelope of width \(\sigma_{sel} = 480 \text{ ns}\) (total length \(4\sigma_{sel}\)), resulting in a pulse bandwidth of approximately 332 kHz, which is less than the cross-Kerr interaction strength between the tomography transmon and the high-\(Q\) resonator. As a result the tomography transmon is excited only when the storage resonator is in \(|0\rangle\). Finally, the state of the tomography transmon is measured. An optional single-shot measurement of the conversion transmon can also be performed as indicated by the dashed green measurement pulse in Fig. 2(a).

The outcome of the described measurement is shown in Fig. 2(b). The population fraction of the Fock state \(|0\rangle\) is plotted as a function of the duration for which the pump pulses are applied and the detuning of the first pump \(\omega_{p1}\) from the \(|f0\rangle \leftrightarrow |e2\rangle\) transition. The data displays Rabi oscillations arising from two processes. The one on the left occurs when pump 1 is resonant with the \(|f0\rangle \leftrightarrow |e2\rangle\) transition. The one on the right corresponds to the two pumps being equally detuned from the \(|f0\rangle \leftrightarrow |g2\rangle\) and \(|e2\rangle \leftrightarrow |g4\rangle\) transitions. This is the Raman-assisted \(|f0\rangle \leftrightarrow |g4\rangle\) transition of interest. The resulting chevron pattern for this transition is narrower since the cascaded transition occurs at a slower rate than the \(|f0\rangle \leftrightarrow |g2\rangle\) transition. From the frequency of the oscillations we extract \(g_{4ph}/2\pi = 0.32 \text{ MHz}\).

![FIG. 2. Pulse sequence and Rabi oscillations of the cascading process. (a) Pulse sequence used for locating the \(|f0\rangle \leftrightarrow |g4\rangle\) resonance of the system. The system is initialized in \(|f0\rangle\) by using \(\pi\) pulses on \(|g\rangle \leftrightarrow |e\rangle\) and \(|e\rangle \leftrightarrow |f\rangle\) transitions. Following this, the two pumps are applied with varying frequency and duration. The frequency difference of the two pumps is maintained constant at \(\chi_{ub} - 4\chi_{ab} + 2\Delta\). Finally an indirect measurement of the storage resonator population is performed using a photon-number selective \(\pi\) pulse on the tomography transmon and a measurement pulse on the tomography resonator. Optionally, a measurement of the conversion transmon state can also be performed using a measurement pulse on the conversion resonator. (b) Rabi oscillations in the population of Fock state \(|0\rangle\) (\(\rho_0\), colorbar). The x axis shows the detuning of pump 1 from the \(|f0\rangle \leftrightarrow |e2\rangle\) transition, the y axis shows the duration for which the two pumps are applied. The frequency landscape above the data explains the origin of the two chevronlike features.](image-url)
In order to compare the experimental results with theory, accurate measurements of the pump amplitudes $g_{1,2}$ are necessary. However, the pumps experience frequency-dependent attenuation due to the dispersion in the input lines. Moreover, the coupling strengths of the pumps are also frequency dependent, since the pumps are effectively filtered by the resonant modes of the system. In order to eliminate these effects, we calibrate the pump amplitudes independently measured populations and the ones on the right are obtained from measured Stark shift, to the pump amplitudes $g_{1,2}$ transmon (colorbar) versus pump duration ($\omega_T$). The plots on the left and dashed lines shown in (a). Independently measured populations (magenta), measured along the $x$ axis) and the detuning of the selective $\pi$ pulse on the tomography transmon (y axis). The $y$ axis on the right shows the frequency of the tomography transmon (\omega_T) conditioned on the number of photons $n$ in the storage mode. (b) From top to bottom, $|0\rangle$, $|2\rangle$, and $|4\rangle$ Fock-state populations (magenta), measured along the dashed lines shown in (a). Independently measured populations in $|f\rangle$, $|e\rangle$, and $|g\rangle$ states of the conversion mode (green) are also plotted, respectively, from top to bottom. The plots on the left are experimental data and the ones on the right are obtained from numerical simulation (see text).

C. Partial tomography of the $|f0\rangle \leftrightarrow |g4\rangle$ process

Having found the desired $|f0\rangle \leftrightarrow |g4\rangle$ process, we fix our pump frequencies to be resonant with this transition and proceed to characterize these oscillations in more detail. First, we obtain the populations of different Fock states of the storage resonator, by employing a pulse sequence similar to the one presented in Fig. 2(a). Here, however, the frequency of the photon-number selective pulse on the tomography transmon is varied, whereas the pumps are applied at a constant frequency. The result of this measurement is plotted in Fig. 3(a). The population fractions of various Fock states are inferred by taking cross sections at the resonance frequency of the tomography transmon conditioned on the number of photons in the high-$Q$ resonator. The resonator oscillates between $|0\rangle$ and $|4\rangle$ with some leakage to $|2\rangle$ due to the finite detuning $\Delta$ from $|e2\rangle$ [see the $\omega_{T0}/2\pi$ lines in Fig. 3(a)]. The population appearing in $|1\rangle$ and $|3\rangle$ is due to finite energy-relaxation time of the resonator mode. Next, we

**FIG. 3.** Partial tomography of $|f0\rangle \leftrightarrow |g4\rangle$ oscillations as a function of time. The system is prepared in $|f0\rangle$ and the two pumps are applied for a variable period of time on resonance with the $|f0\rangle \leftrightarrow |g4\rangle$ transition. Following this, a selective pulse with a variable frequency is applied on the tomography transmon enabling an indirect measurement of various Fock-state populations of the storage resonator. (a) Excited-state population of tomography transmon (colorbar) versus pump duration ($\times$ axis) and the detuning of the selective $\pi$ pulse on the tomography transmon ($\gamma$ axis). The $y$ axis on the right shows the frequency of the tomography transmon (\omega_T) conditioned on the number of photons $n$ in the storage mode. (b) From top to bottom, $|0\rangle$, $|2\rangle$, and $|4\rangle$ Fock-state populations (magenta), measured along the dashed lines shown in (a). Independently measured populations in $|f\rangle$, $|e\rangle$, and $|g\rangle$ states of the conversion mode (green) are also plotted, respectively, from top to bottom. The plots on the left are experimental data and the ones on the right are obtained from numerical simulation (see text).

**FIG. 4.** Conditional Wigner tomography of the storage resonator after a quarter period of the $|f0\rangle \leftrightarrow |g4\rangle$ oscillation. After quarter period of $|f0\rangle \leftrightarrow |g4\rangle$ oscillation the system is in the state $(|f0\rangle + |g4\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$. (a),(b) Experimental Wigner function of the storage resonator after postselecting the conversion mode in the $|g\rangle$ and $|e\rangle$ states. This leaves the storage resonator in Fock states $|4\rangle$, $|0\rangle$, respectively. (d),(e) Ideal Wigner functions of Fock states $|4\rangle$, $|0\rangle$ for comparison. (c) Wigner function of the resonator after photon-number selective $\pi$ pulses from $|f0\rangle$ to $|e0\rangle$ and $|e0\rangle$ to $|g0\rangle$ (indicated by $U_{sel}$) and postselecting the conversion transmon in $|g\rangle$. Comparing (c) with the ideal Wigner function of $(|0\rangle + |4\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ state in (f), shows that the storage resonator is in a coherent superposition of $|0\rangle$ and $|4\rangle$, thus indicating that the $|f0\rangle \leftrightarrow |g4\rangle$ oscillations are coherent.
independently measure the populations of $|f\rangle$, $|e\rangle$, and $|g\rangle$ states of the conversion transmon using the dashed-green measurement pulse shown in Fig. 2(a). The evolution of the $|0\rangle$, $|2\rangle$, and $|4\rangle$ state populations of the storage resonator and the $|f\rangle$, $|e\rangle$, $|g\rangle$ state populations of the conversion transmon as a function of time are plotted in the first column of Fig. 3(b). The respective populations oscillate in phase with each other as expected. The amplitude of the oscillations is limited by the $T_2$ of the conversion qubit and the measurement contrast. We are also able to resolve an envelope of fast oscillations in the populations of $|e\rangle$, $|g\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$, $|4\rangle$ states. These are expected for a Raman transition and occur at a rate given by the detuning $\Delta$.

The plots in the second column of Fig. 3(b) show the results of a numerical simulation of the Lindblad master equation of the conversion transmon plus resonator system, given by

$$\dot{\rho} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [H_{\text{sys}}, \rho] + \kappa_a D[a] \rho + \kappa_b D[b] \rho + \Gamma_{\phi,a} D[a^\dagger a] \rho + \Gamma_{\phi,b} D[b^\dagger b] \rho.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Here, $\rho$ is the density matrix, $H_{\text{sys}}$ is the Hamiltonian given in Eq. (1), and

$$D[A]\rho = A\rho A^\dagger + \frac{1}{2} (A^\dagger A \rho + \rho A^\dagger A).$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The decoherence rates are given by

$$\kappa_k = \frac{1}{T_{1,k}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{\phi,k} = \frac{1}{T_{2,k}} - \frac{1}{2T_{1,k}},$$

with $k = a, b$. All parameters used in the simulation are independently evaluated (see previous sections). We set the initial state of the system as $|f\rangle |0\rangle$, simulate Eq. (7), with the pump tones on for a variable amount of time. The smoothed edges of the pump tones are taken into account by inducing the same time dependence on $g_{1,2}$, and adding a time-dependent Stark shift. From the resulting density matrix we find the populations of the various Fock states and the $|g\rangle$, $|e\rangle$, $|f\rangle$ states of the transmon. The obtained populations are scaled such that the maximum and minimum of each trace, matches with the maximum and minimum of the corresponding experimental data, in order to account for the measurement contrast. The simulation reproduces the experimental results well, including the fast oscillations found in the data.

**D. Coherence of the $|f\rangle |0\rangle \leftrightarrow |g\rangle |4\rangle$ process**

Finally, in order to demonstrate that the oscillations are coherent, we stop the oscillations after a quarter of a period (372 ns). This is expected to prepare a coherent superposition of $|f\rangle |0\rangle$, $|g\rangle |4\rangle$ given by $(|f\rangle |0\rangle + |g\rangle |4\rangle) / \sqrt{2}$. We experimentally characterize the state of the system by performing Wigner tomography of the resonator, conditioned on conversion transmon states. As expected, the resonator ends up in Fock state $|4\rangle$ $(|0\rangle)$ when the conversion transmon is postselected in $|g\rangle$ $(|f\rangle)$, as shown by Figs. 4(a) and 4(b). Moreover, applying a photon-number selective $f \rightarrow g$ pulse on the conversion transmon, conditioned on zero photons in the storage resonator, disentangles the transmon from the resonator, leaving the system in $|g\rangle \otimes (|0\rangle + |4\rangle) / \sqrt{2}$. The Wigner function of the resonator after postselecting the conversion transmon in $|g\rangle$, shown in Fig. 4(c), depicts a $(|0\rangle + |4\rangle) / \sqrt{2}$ state, thus proving that the oscillations are coherent. For comparison, the ideal Wigner functions of $|4\rangle$, $|0\rangle$ and $(|0\rangle + |4\rangle) / \sqrt{2}$ are shown in (d), (e), and (f) of Fig. 4, respectively. It is also interesting to note that $(|0\rangle + |4\rangle) / \sqrt{2}$ is one of the logical states of binomial QEC codes [30].

**IV. DISCUSSION**

While we demonstrate an eight-wave mixing $|g\rangle |4\rangle \leftrightarrow |f\rangle |0\rangle$ transition, autonomous QEC requires a $a^\dagger |f\rangle \langle g| + a^4 |g\rangle \langle f|$, process, where all of the $|f\rangle n \leftrightarrow |g\rangle (n + 4)$ transitions are resonant simultaneously. This can be accomplished by making the strength of the pumped processes $(g_{1,2})$ higher than the cross-Kerr interaction terms $\chi_{ab}$ between the storage resonator and the conversion transmon. However, such pump strengths are not achievable in our current system, due to spurious transitions induced by strong pumps, similar to those seen in Refs. [31,32]. This limitation, however, should not discourage future applications, since, there have been proposals to increase tolerance for the pump strengths by shunting the transmon with a linear inductor [33] or using flux-biased circuits to cancel cross-Kerr interaction between modes [34].

The leakage to the intermediate state $|e\rangle (n - 2)$ could be another limitation for QEC applications. In future iterations of our experiment, this leakage can be minimized by increasing the detuning and making the pulses more adiabatic, albeit at the cost of making the overall process slower. It is also possible to use pulse-shaping techniques like stimulated Raman adiabatic passage (STIRAP) [(24), Chap. 6.2.3] to implement this transition without any leakage. The effect of this leakage on the error-correction protocol is discussed at length in Ref. [22]. Moreover, Ref. [18] details an alternative QEC scheme, which uses a similar driven-dissipative process, however, it is insensitive to leakage to the $|e\rangle, n - 2$ state.

**V. CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, we show that nonlinear processes can be cascaded through a virtual state to engineer higher-order nonlinear Hamiltonians. The rate of this highly nonlinear transition is faster than the decoherence rates. The
oscillations are coherent and follow the theoretical predictions closely. The demonstrated $|g4\rangle \leftrightarrow |f\rangle$ oscillations are a precursor to the implementation of the complete $a^\dag f \rangle \langle g| + a^{\dag 4}g \rangle \langle f|$ Hamiltonian, which is an important component of hardware-efficient quantum error correction using Schrödinger cat states.

Moreover, while three- and four-wave mixing processes have played a key role in QED applications [35–41], many proposals will benefit from increasingly higher-order nonlinear interactions [14, 18, 42, 43]. We accomplish a deeper goal of verifying that higher-order nonlinear interactions can indeed be engineered by cascading lower-order nonlinear processes. As shown in Appendix A, it is possible to cascade any two processes through a virtual state, as long as the commutator of the operators that describe the processes is the operator describing the desired higher-order process. Therefore, such cascading could be useful for the broader field of quantum optics and quantum control. Additionally, the possibility of cascading indicates that advanced techniques like GRAPE (gradient-ascent pulse engineering) [44, 45] could utilize pulses addressing nonlinear processes to gain additional control knobs over the system, thus potentially increasing the speed and fidelity of the engineered unitary operations.
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APPENDIX A: DESIGNING A RAMAN-ASSISTED HIGHER-ORDER PROCESS

In this section we use the expressions for second-order RWA [26] to obtain some pointers towards designing Raman-assisted higher-order processes. Consider a Hamiltonian in an interaction picture with respect to the diagonal part, given by

$$\frac{\hat{H}_I(t)}{\hbar} = \frac{\hat{H}_c}{\hbar} + g_1 e^{i\Delta t} A_1 + g_1^* e^{-i\Delta t} A_1^\dag + g_2 e^{-i\Delta t} A_2 + g_2^* e^{i\Delta t} A_2^\dag,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A1)

Here, $\hat{H}_c$ is a time-independent part of $\hat{H}_I(t)$ and $A_1, A_2$ are operators describing off-diagonal interactions available in the system. The specific expressions for $A_1$ and $A_2$, in the case of our experiment, are considered in a latter section. In the given rotating frame of $\hat{H}_I(t)$, the two processes are detuned by $+\Delta$ and $-\Delta$, respectively. The effective Hamiltonian to the second-order in RWA is given by

$$H_{\text{RWA}} = \overline{\hat{H}_I(t)} - i\hbar \frac{\int dt \hat{H}'_I(t)}{\int dt},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A2)

where

$$\overline{\hat{H}_I(t)} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt H_I(t),$$

and

$$H'_I(t) = H_I(t) - \overline{H_I(t)}.$$

Applying this to the Hamiltonian in Eq. (A1) we obtain

$$\frac{H_{\text{eff}}}{\hbar} = \frac{\hat{H}_c + |g_1|^2}{\Delta} \left[A_1, A_1^\dag\right] - \frac{|g_2|^2}{\Delta} \left[A_2, A_2^\dag\right]$$

$$+ \frac{g_1 g_2}{\Delta} \left[A_1, A_2\right] - \frac{g_1^* g_2^*}{\Delta} \left[A_1^\dag, A_2^\dag\right].$$ \hspace{1cm} (A3)

This reveals effective interactions, given by the commutation relations of the operators $A_1$ and $A_2$. Therefore, in order to design a Raman-assisted higher-order process we have to use the following three principles.

(a) Select the lower-order processes such that their commutation relation is a nonzero operator describing the required higher-order process.

(b) Design the lower-order processes to be oscillating with equal and opposite frequencies $\Delta$ so that their product survives the second-order RWA.

(c) Engineer the time-independent part $\hat{H}_c$ in Eq. (A1) to cancel the effect of the unwanted resonant terms in $H_{\text{eff}}$ as well as effects arising at higher orders in perturbation theory (not shown).

Another issue to keep in mind is the validity of second-order RWA. Equation (A3) is a good approximation only when $|g_1|/\Delta, |g_2|/\Delta \ll 1$. In general, along with the interactions given in $H_{\text{eff}}$, the two individual processes described by $A_1, A_2$ are also off-resonantly enabled, leading to leakages corresponding to $A_1, A_2$ transitions. These leakages can be minimized by selecting smaller values of $|g_{1,2}|/\Delta$ albeit at the cost of slowing the desired effective process as well.

APPENDIX B: DETAILED CALCULATIONS FOR THE $|f\rangle \leftrightarrow |g4\rangle$ PROCESS

Here we present a more detailed version of the calculations presented in Sec. II of the main text. Following the analysis in Ref. [22], the Hamiltonian of a transmon-resonator system in the presence of off-resonant pumps is given by

$$\frac{H}{\hbar} = \omega_a a^\dag a + \omega_b b^\dag b - \frac{E_i}{\hbar} \left\{ \cos [\Phi(t)] + \frac{\Phi^2(t)}{2!} \right\},$$ \hspace{1cm} (B1)

where $b$ is the destruction operator corresponding to the conversion transmon and $\Phi(t)$ is the phase across
the Josephson junction of the conversion transmon. The expression for $\Phi(t)$ is given by

$$\Phi(t) = \phi_a (a + a^\dagger) + \phi_b (b + b^\dagger) + \phi_b \sum_{k=1,2} \xi_k \exp(-i\omega_pt) + \xi_k^* \exp(i\omega_pt),$$

and $E_J$ is the Josephson energy. The ratios $\phi_{a,b}$ and $\xi_{1,2}$ are the dimensionless participation amplitudes of the respective modes and the pumps in the junction. The typical magnitudes of $\phi_{a,b}$ are $\phi^2_a \sim 10^{-3}$ and $\phi^2_b \sim 10^{-1}$, respectively. The two pump frequencies are mentioned in Eq. (3). For the purpose of this calculation, we assume that the pumps only couple to mode $a$. This assumption does not lead to any loss of generality since the coupling to mode $a$ can be effectively absorbed in the time-dependent part of $\Phi(t)$ with a slight modification to $\xi_k$.

Expanding the Hamiltonian in Eq. (B1) to the fourth order in cosine expansion and keeping only the terms that will survive after second-order RWA leads us to Eq. (1) quoted in Sec. II. The pump amplitudes $g_{1,2}$ in terms of $\xi_{1,2}$ are given by

$$g_{1,2} = -\frac{E_J\phi_a^2\phi_b^2}{2}\xi_{1,2} = -\frac{\chi_{ab}}{2}\xi_{1,2},$$ (B2)

and the expressions for the Stark-shifted frequencies of the modes are

$$\tilde{\omega}_a = \omega_a - (|\xi_1|^2 + |\xi_2|^2)\chi_{ab},$$
$$\tilde{\omega}_b = \omega_b - 2(|\xi_1|^2 + |\xi_2|^2)\chi_{bb},$$ (B3)

where $\omega_{a,b}$ are the bare frequencies. Combining Eq. (B2) and Eq. (B3) leads to a direct relation between Stark shift and $g_{1,2}$ quoted in Eq. (2). This relation is useful for calibrating the pump strengths in Sec. III B.

Going into the rotating frame with respect to $H_0/\hbar$ and $\chi_{aa}/2a^\dagger a - \delta b^\dagger b$ we get the Hamiltonian in the interaction picture

$$\frac{H_I}{\hbar} = -6\chi_{aa}|g4\rangle\langle g4| - (\chi_{aa} + \delta)|e2\rangle\langle e2| - 2\delta|f0\rangle\langle f0|$$
$$+ \sqrt{4}|g1\rangle \exp(i\Delta t)$$
$$+ g_2 \exp[-i(\chi_{bb} - 4\chi_{ab} + \Delta)t]|f0\rangle\langle e2| + \text{H.c}$$
$$+ \sqrt{12}|g1\rangle \exp[i(\chi_{bb} - 4\chi_{ab} + \Delta)t]$$
$$+ g_2 \exp[-i\Delta t]|e2\rangle\langle g4| + \text{H.c.}$$ (B4)

Comparing this expression with the expression given in Eq. (A1), we can infer that the first row is the time-independent part $H_c$ and, $|f0\rangle\langle e2|$, $|e2\rangle\langle g4|$ are the individual $A_1$, $A_2$ processes in Eq. (A1). The other terms in the Hamiltonian do not contribute after second-order RWA and hence, are ignored. Finally, performing the RWA as specified in Appendix A, we get the effective Hamiltonian

$$\frac{H_{\text{eff}}}{\hbar} = g_{4\phi b} (|g4\rangle\langle f0| + |f0\rangle\langle g4|)$$
$$+ \left(\frac{12|g_2|^2}{\Delta} - \frac{12|g_1|^2}{\chi_{bb} - 4\chi_{ab} + \Delta} - 6\chi_{aa}\right)|g4\rangle\langle g4|$$
$$- \left(\frac{12|g_2|^2 + 4|g_1|^2}{\Delta} - \frac{4|g_1|^2 + 12|g_2|^2}{\chi_{bb} - 4\chi_{ab} + \Delta} + \chi_{aa} + \delta\right)|e2\rangle\langle e2|$$
$$+ \left(\frac{4|g_1|^2}{\Delta} - \frac{4|g_1|^2}{\chi_{bb} - 4\chi_{ab} + \Delta} - 2\delta\right)|f0\rangle\langle f0|.$$ (B5)

The first row in the above equation is the desired effective interaction. The magnitude of $g_{4\phi b}$ has been quoted in Eq. (5). The other terms in the Hamiltonian are higher-order frequency shifts introduced by the pumps. We compensate for these shifts in the experiment by sweeping the pump frequencies while keeping the difference between them constant at $\chi_{bb} - 4\chi_{ab} + 2\Delta$. This common shift of pump frequencies given by $\delta$, amounts to

$$\delta = 3\chi_{aa} + \left(\frac{2|g_1|^2 - 6|g_2|^2}{\Delta} + \frac{6|g_2|^2 - 2|g_1|^2}{\chi_{bb} - 4\chi_{ab} + \Delta}\right).$$ (B6)

It can be seen that for this value of $\delta$, the higher-order frequency shifts introduced in $|f0\rangle$ and $|g4\rangle$ states are equal, thus making the $|f0\rangle \leftrightarrow |g4\rangle$ transition resonant.

**APPENDIX C: COMPARISON WITH THE MAGNITUDE OF SIX-WAVE MIXING PROCESS**

As mentioned in the main text, the four-photon driven-dissipative process required to stabilize a manifold of four-component Schrödinger cat states can, in principle, be implemented in two distinct ways. The first way is through Raman-assisted cascading, which is the topic of exploration for our article. The other way is using the six-wave mixing capabilities of a Josephson junction. The idea is to exchange four photons of a storage resonator with a single excitation of a Josephson junction mode such as transmon, SQUID, SNAIL, [40] etc., accompanied by a release of pump photon, and vice versa; a five-quanta process. In this section we compare the estimated magnitude of this six-wave mixing process with that of the Raman-assisted cascading.

The magnitude of the six-wave mixing process can be estimated by expanding the cosine potential in Eq. (B1) to the sixth order. The expression for the rate of this
interaction is
\[ g_{\text{Raman}} = \frac{\chi_{ab} \xi}{20} \left( 1 - \frac{5 \chi_{ab} \xi}{\chi_{bb} - 4 \chi_{ab} + 5 \chi_{bb} \xi} \right). \]  

Here we substitute \( g_1 = g_2 = \chi_{ab} \xi / 2 \) and \( \Delta = 10 g_{1,2} = 5 \chi_{ab} \xi \). This maintains \( \Delta \gg g_{1,2} \) for the RWA to be valid. In order to estimate the relative strength of the processes, we use \( \xi_0 = \xi_1 = \xi_2 \approx 0.2 \) and \( \phi_a^\ast \approx 0.002 \) obtained by using the parameters of our system as a guide. These are representative of the typical parameters achievable in resonators coupled to transmon modes. The pump strengths are chosen based on an empirically observed limit, imposed by the chaotic behavior of high-\( Q \) transmon-resonator system, at high pump powers \([31,32]\). Using Eqs. (C1) and (C2), we estimate that the Raman-assisted process will be stronger than the six-wave mixing process by about two orders of magnitude. Moreover, assuming the validity of these rate expressions at higher pump powers, the Raman transition dominates the six-wave mixing process till the pump strength \( \xi \geq 600 \). In reality, the expressions shown here break down at such high pump strengths \([33]\) and, in high-\( Q \) devices, these regimes have not been experimentally achieved yet.

**APPENDIX D: SAMPLE FABRICATION**

All the modes of the system are simulated using ANSYS HFSS and the Hamiltonian of the system is inferred using energy participation ratio black-box quantization technique \([46]\). The cavity enclosure is machined into a single block of high-purity aluminum in order to make a seamless re-entrant cavity \([28]\). The transmons are fabricated as Al/AlO_x/Al Josephson junctions on a c-plane double-side polished sapphire wafer using bridge-free electron-beam lithography \([47]\). The low-\( Q \) resonators are realized as stripline \( \lambda / 2 \) resonators defined lithographically. The coupling pins shown in Fig. 1(d) and Fig. S1 are coaxial couplers whose coupling strength is tuned by adjusting the length of their exposed pin.

**APPENDIX E: MEASUREMENT SETUP**

The principles of our measurement setup are similar to those shown in Ref. \([17]\). A detailed wiring diagram is shown in Fig. 5. The upper half contains the room-temperature wiring (above 300 K dashed line) of the experiment and the lower half shows the wiring inside the dilution refrigerator. As mentioned in the main text, we have two transmon qubits and the ability to perform single-shot measurement on both qubits. The low-\( Q \) resonator coupled to the conversion transmon has a frequency of 9.93 GHz as mentioned in Table 1. This is above the cut-off frequency of the waveguide enclosure and hence, this mode couples to the transmission line through the strongly coupled pin situated at the top of the waveguide. This coupling pin serves the dual purpose of measurement pin for the conversion transmon as well as the pin through which the off-resonant pumps are applied. Moreover, the coupling pin only addresses the waveguide mode with the polarization along the length of the pin. Hence, the applied pumps only couple to the conversion transmon while leaving the tomography transmon unperturbed. All the tones applied on this pin are combined using a directional coupler and routed to the coupling pin using a circulator. The directional coupler also sends most of the pump signal back to room temperature, hence effectively attenuating the pump tones without heating up the base plate of the dilution refrigerator. The circulator directs the reflected signal from the waveguide pin towards a Josephson parametric converter (JPC), which amplifies the signal at the conversion resonator frequency and sends it back to room temperature via circulator, isolators and a HEMT amplifier placed at 4 K. The coupling pin situated close to the conversion arm is weakly coupled to the system and is used to drive the conversion transmon. The pin situated on the tomography arm, however, is strongly coupled to the tomography resonator and is used for three purposes. Firstly, it is used to read-out the tomography resonator in reflection. The signal is routed using two circulators to a SNAIL parametric amplifier (SPA) \([48]\) and the amplified signal is routed through the circulator towards the output chain. The other two purposes of the tomography arm coupling pin are to address the tomography qubit as well as the storage resonator. In fact, the relaxation time of the storage resonator is limited because of the coupling to the environment via this pin. At room temperature, we have five generators to address the system and two more for powering the amplifiers. The generators addressing the conversion resonator and the storage resonator are also combined to produce a tone close to the frequencies of the pumps thus phase locking the two modes with the pumps. The other three generators are used to address the pumping resonator, the tomography qubit, and the tomography resonator.

**APPENDIX F: WIGNER TOMOGRAPHY**

The Wigner tomography of the storage resonator is performed in a similar manner to Refs. \([19,20]\). After preparing the storage resonator in the desired state, we apply a displacement pulse on the storage resonator, displacing it by \( \beta \). Following this, we perform a nondemolition measurement of the parity of the storage resonator.
FIG. 5. Wiring diagram.
using the tomography transmon. A narrow unselective Gaussian pulse ($\sigma = 20$ ns) puts the transmon in the superposition of ground and excited state irrespective of the state of the storage resonator. Next, the transmon undergoes free evolution under the dispersive coupling with the resonator. By choosing the evolution time to be $\pi / \chi_{\text{transmon}}$, resonator = 416 ns and performing another $\pi / 2$ pulse on the transmon, we map the parity of the resonator on the state of the transmon. Finally the state of the transmon is measured. The average of this measurement is the expectation value to the parity. Figure 4 shows the average parity of the storage resonator as a function of the real and imaginary part of the applied displacement $\beta$, which is the Wigner function of the storage resonator.


